



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,930	01/30/2004	Jerome R. Mahoney	IVC-114A	7793

7590 12/12/2006
Kenneth P. Glynn, Esq.
Glynn & Associates, P.C.
24 Mine Street
Flemington, NJ 08822

EXAMINER

WIN, AUNG T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2617

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/768,930

Applicant(s)

MAHONEY, JEROME R.

Examiner

Aung T. Win

Art Unit

2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 September 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 21-37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 21-37 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 21-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mariano et al. (US 20030156724A1) in view of Rapchak (US007002476B2) in view of Shizuka et al. (US 20030014252A1).

Regarding Claim 21 & 23, Mariano discloses medication instruction transmission receiving system and method comprising a computer and medicine container [See Figures] [Background, Summary] [0027-0031].

Mariano discloses a recorder to record audio instructions either from recorder's MIC or a computer running computer speech software [Paragraph 0036 & 0037] via interface port 70 or wirelessly such as infrared or short-range radio transceiver [Paragraph 0038]. At the time of invention of made, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the computer comprises claimed input means. Mariano discloses medicine container and activating member for activating a sound player for providing audio instructions to a user of medication especially for visually impaired user [Paragraph 0027-0031].

Mariano's medicine container comprises microchip to store transferred audio instruction information as stated above. Although Mariano does not explicitly teaches that wave file, wave file is audio format well known to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention of made. It is also obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that wave file format is an audio standard format for storing audio in PC.

Rapchak discloses prescription medication instruction [file 28 or 30: Column 4, Line 11-38] to be transmitted as wave file format. At the time of invention of made, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that Rapchak's wave file must be created and identified with unique identification as claimed. Rapchak also disclose medication processor for further process transferred wave file ie., storing and retrieving accordingly [Column 5, Line 50-54]. Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that medication processor as taught by Rapchak must have claimed accessing means for retrieving the wave file based on wave file identification.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention of made to modify Mariano's medicine audio instruction transmission system and method as taught by Rapchak to create medication instruction as wave file format to transmit and retrieve accordingly as claimed. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to transmit such compressed file format for bandwidth efficiency.

Modified method does not explicitly disclose creating wave file format comprise text-to-speech means. At the time of invention of made, text-to-wave converting means are very well known to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Shizuka discloses the method of converting speech data corresponding to user input text using personal computer [Paragraph 0026-0028] [Figure 3, 4 & 5]. Shizuka also discloses that text-to-speech converting means are well known techniques [0005].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention of made to further modify the method in creating wave file via inputting text as taught by Shizuka. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention of made to do this for easier medication instruction editing method for users.

2.2 Claims 25, 26 & 28 are the method claim rejected for the same reason as stated above in Claim 21 rejection because claimed methods substantially read on the corresponding method of Claim 21.

2.3 Claims 30, 31, 33, 34, 35 & 37 are the apparatus claims rejected for the same reason as stated above in Claim 21 rejection because claimed means executes processing steps which are substantially close to the corresponding method of Claim 21.

2.4 Claims 21, 27, 32 & 36 are rejected for the same reason as stated above in Claim 1, 25, 30 & 34 rejections [Mariano: Microchip: Figure 2]

2.7 Regarding Claims 6 & 12, modified method teaches providing different medications to the user identified with different drug identifier [Rapchak: Column 4, Line

Art Unit: 2617

28] [Also see Claim 1 rejection]. Moreover, claimed selecting step is very well known to one of ordinary skill in the pharmaceutical art at the time of invention of made because claimed preset data collection of prescription medicine instructions corresponding to a specific medication and dosage combination are stored in the processing computer memory or database for pharmacist to prepare medication instructions. Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that modified method teaches claimed selecting step because modified method teaches creating medication instructions steps.

Conclusion

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Dobbins US Patent Number: US 006259794B1

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aung T. Win whose telephone number is (571) 272-7549. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aung T. Win
Group Art Unit 2617
December 8, 2006


DUC M. NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600